

Remark on characterization of wave front set by wave packet transform

Keiichi Kato, Masaharu Kobayashi and Shingo Ito

Abstract

In this paper, we give characterization of usual wave front set and wave front set in H^s in terms of wave packet transform without any restriction on basic wave packet.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we discuss on characterization of wave front set in terms of wave packet transform. Wave front set is introduced by L. Hörmander [6], which is one of main tools of microlocal analysis in C^∞ category. Wave front set of a distribution is a set of singular points of the distribution in phase space. Such idea to classify the singularities of generalized functions “microlocally” has been introduced by M. Sato, J. Bros and D. Iagolnitzer and L. Hörmander independently in the 1970s (Sato-Kawai-Kashiwara [13], Hörmander[7], [8], Trèves [14]).

The wave packet transform has been introduced by Córdoba-Fefferman [1]. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$. Then the wave packet transform $W_\phi f(x, \xi)$ of f with the wave packet generated by a function ϕ is defined by

$$W_\phi f(x, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{\phi(y-x)} f(y) e^{-iy \cdot \xi} dy.$$

We call the above ϕ basic wave packet in this paper. Wave packet transform is called short time Fourier transform or windowed Fourier transform in several literatures. We refer to [5] for more details.

G. B. Folland introduced the characterization of wave front set in terms of wave packet transform with a positive symmetric Schwartz’s function as basic wave packet ([3, Theorem 3.22]). He proposed a question if the same conclusion is still valid without the restriction of basic wave packet. T. Ōkaji [10, Theorem 2.2] has given a partial answer with a Schwartz’s function ϕ satisfying $\int x^\alpha \phi dx \neq 0$ for some α as basic wave packet. Using such basic wave packet, he has also given sufficient condition and necessity condition which imply that a point belong in H^s wave front set. In this paper, we give the characterization of C^∞ wave front set and H^s wave front set with any Schwartz’s function which is not identically 0 as basic wave packet. The following theorems are our main results.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF(u)$

(ii) There exist $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$, a neighborhood K of x_0 and a conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \geq 1$ there exists a constant $C_{N,a}$ satisfying

$$|W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)| \leq C_{N,a} \lambda^{-N}$$

for all $\lambda \geq 1$, $x \in K$ and $\xi \in \Gamma$ with $a^{-1} \leq |\xi| \leq a$, where $\phi_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{n/4} \phi(\lambda^{1/2} x)$.

(iii) There exist a neighborhood K of x_0 and a conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \geq 1$ there exists a constant $C_{N,a}$ satisfying

$$|W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)| \leq C_{N,a} \lambda^{-N}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$, $\lambda \geq 1$, $x \in K$ and $\xi \in \Gamma$ with $a^{-1} \leq |\xi| \leq a$, where $\phi_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{n/4} \phi(\lambda^{1/2} x)$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The following conditions are equivalent.

(i) $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_{H^s}(u)$

(ii) There exist $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$, a neighborhood K of x_0 and a neighborhood V of ξ_0 such that

$$\int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \int_V \int_K |W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)|^2 dx d\xi d\lambda < \infty, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\phi_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{n/4} \phi(\lambda^{1/2} x)$.

(iii) There exist a neighborhood K of x_0 and a neighborhood V of ξ_0 such that

$$\int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \int_V \int_K |W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)|^2 dx d\xi d\lambda < \infty \quad (1.2)$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$, where $\phi_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{n/4} \phi(\lambda^{1/2} x)$.

Remark 1.3. P. Gérard [4, Proposition 1.1] has shown that (i) and (ii) are equivalent if $\phi(x)$ is a Gaussian function $e^{-|x|^2/2}$ (see also J. M. Delort [2, Theorem 1.2]).

Let us begin with a brief review of the history of characterizations of wave front sets by the wave packet transform. The C^∞ wave front set is defined as follows.

Definition 1.1. (C^∞ wave front set) Let $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We say that (x_0, ξ_0) is not in the C^∞ wave front set of u , denoted by $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF(u)$, if and only if there exist a function $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\chi \equiv 1$ near x_0 and a conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N > 0$ satisfying

$$|\mathcal{F}[\chi f](\xi)| \leq C_N (1 + |\xi|)^{-N} \quad (1.3)$$

for $\xi \in \Gamma$.

Concerning the C^∞ wave front set, following theorem is known.

Theorem A. (G. B. Folland [3, Theorem 3.22] and T. Ōkaji [10, Theorem 2.2]). Let $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose that $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha \phi(x) dx \neq 0$ for some multi-indices α and put $\phi_\lambda = \lambda^{n/4} \phi(\lambda^{1/2} x)$. Then, $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF(u)$ if and only if there exist a neighborhood K of x_0 and a conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a \geq 1$ there exists a constant $C_{N,a}$ satisfying

$$|W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)| \leq C_{N,a} \lambda^{-N}$$

for $\lambda \geq 1$, $x \in K$ and $\xi \in \Gamma$ with $a^{-1} \leq |\xi| \leq a$.

G. B. Folland [3] has shown that the conclusion follows if $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a positive symmetric Schwartz's function. In T. Ōkaji [10], the proof of Theorem A is given. T. Ōkaji [10] also discuss the H^s wave front set. The H^s wave front set is defined as follows.

Definition 1.2. (H^s wave front set) Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We say that (x_0, ξ_0) is not in the H^s wave front set of u , denoted by $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_{H^s}(u)$, if and only if there exist a conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 and a function $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\chi \equiv 1$ near x_0 such that

$$\|\langle \xi \rangle^s \widehat{\chi u}(\xi)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} < +\infty. \quad (1.4)$$

Concerning the H^s wave front set, following theorem is known.

Theorem B. (T. Ōkaji [10, Theorem 2.4]) Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose that $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) dx \neq 0$ and put $\phi_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{n/4} \phi(\lambda^{1/2} x)$. If there exist a neighborhood K of x_0 and a neighborhood V of ξ_0 such that

$$\int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \int_V \int_K |W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)|^2 dx d\xi d\lambda < \infty \quad (1.5)$$

then $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_{H^s}(u)$. Conversely, if $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_{H^s}(u)$ then there exist a neighborhood K of x_0 and a neighborhood V of ξ_0 such that

$$\int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s-\varepsilon} \int_V \int_K |W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)|^2 dx d\xi d\lambda < \infty \quad (1.6)$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Remark 1.4. There is a small gap between (1.5) and (1.6). In Theorem 1.2, we remove this gap ($\varepsilon = 0$) and weaken the condition of ϕ .

Remark 1.5. S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov and J. Toft[11], [12] treat wave front set in Fourier-Lebesgue space in terms of short time Fourier transform, which is called wave packet transform in this paper.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prepare several propositions and lemmas. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1 by the method which is used in section 3.

2 Preliminary

2.1 Notations

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, $B(x, r)$ stands $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n; |y - x| < r\}$. $\mathcal{F}[f](\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx$ is the Fourier transform of f . For a subset A of $\mathbb{R}^n$, we denote the complement of A by A^c , the set of all interior points of A by A° and the closure of A by $\overline{A}$. $\mathbf{1}_A$ is the characteristic function of A , that is, $\mathbf{1}_A = 1$ for $x \in A$ and $\mathbf{1}_A = 0$ for $x \in A^c$. Throughout this paper, C , C_i , C' and C'_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) serve as positive constants, if the precise value of which is not needed and C_ϕ denote positive constants depending on ϕ .

2.2 Key Proposition and Lemmas

First, we prepare the following proposition and lemma. Proposition 2.1 is used in section 4 and from Proposition 2.2 to Lemma 2.6 is used in section 3. Proposition 2.1, 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 are easy to prove by the standard method, but we give the proof for reader's convenience in Appendix.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume that $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $\chi \equiv 1$ near x_0 . Then, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) *There exists a conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N > 0$ satisfying*

$$|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)| \leq C_N (1 + |\xi|)^{-N}$$

for $\xi \in \Gamma$.

- (ii) *There exists a neighborhood V of ξ_0 such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N > 0$ satisfying*

$$|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda \xi)| \leq C_N (1 + \lambda |\xi|)^{-N}$$

for $\xi \in V$ and $\lambda \geq 1$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume that $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $\chi \equiv 1$ near x_0 . Then, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) *There exists a conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 such that*

$$\|\langle \xi \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

- (ii) *There exists a neighborhood V of ξ_0 such that*

$$\int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda \xi)\|_{L^2(V)}^2 d\lambda < \infty. \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $\chi \equiv 1$ near x_0 . Suppose that there exists $d > 0$ such that*

$$\int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda \xi)\|_{L^2(B(\xi_0, d))}^2 d\lambda < \infty.$$

Then, there exists a neighborhood $V \subset B(\xi_0, d)$ of ξ_0 such that for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists constants $C > 0$ and $C' > 0$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \|\mathcal{F}[\zeta \chi u](\lambda \xi)\|_{L^2(V)}^2 d\lambda \\ \leq C \int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda \xi)\|_{L^2(B(\xi_0, d))}^2 d\lambda + C'. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$, $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\chi \equiv 1$ near x_0 . Suppose that K is a neighborhood of x_0 and satisfies $\bar{K} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \chi(x) = 1\}^\circ$. Then, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (which depends on only u) such that for all $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $C_\mu > 0$ satisfying*

$$\mathbf{1}_K(x) |W_{\phi_\lambda}[(1 - \chi)u](x, \lambda \xi)| \leq C_\mu \lambda^{-\mu} \langle \xi \rangle^m \quad (2.4)$$

where $\phi_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{n/4} \phi(\lambda^{1/2} x)$.

Proof. Since $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the structure theorem of $\mathcal{S}'$ (see, for example [9, Theorem 2.14]) yields that there exist $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and functions $f_\alpha \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$u(y) = \langle y \rangle^l \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \partial^\alpha f_\alpha(y),$$

where α denotes multi-indices. Put $g(x, y) = \overline{\phi_\lambda(y - x)} \{1 - \chi(y)\} \langle y \rangle^l$ and take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $4N \geq 2m + n + 1$. Since $(1 - \Delta_y)^N e^{-iy \cdot (\lambda \xi - \eta)} = \langle \lambda \xi - \eta \rangle^{2N} e^{-iy \cdot (\lambda \xi - \eta)}$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have, by Schwarz's inequality and integration by parts,

$$\left| W_{\phi_\lambda}[(1 - \chi)u](x, \lambda \xi) \right| \quad (2.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x, y) \partial^\alpha f_\alpha(y) e^{-i\lambda y \cdot \xi} dy \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \mathcal{F}[g(x, \cdot)](\lambda \xi - \eta) \eta^\alpha \mathcal{F}[f_\alpha](\eta) \right| d\eta \\ &\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|\mathcal{F}[f_\alpha]\|_{L^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \eta^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x, y) e^{-iy \cdot (\lambda \xi - \eta)} dy \right|^2 d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L^2} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\eta|^{2|\alpha|} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|(1 - \Delta_y)^N g(x, y)|}{\langle \lambda \xi - \eta \rangle^{2N}} dy \right)^2 d\eta \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\eta|^{2|\alpha|}}{\langle \lambda \xi - \eta \rangle^{4N}} d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(1 - \Delta_y)^N g(x, y)| dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Since $|\eta| \leq |\eta - \lambda\xi| + |\lambda\xi|$, we have $\frac{|\eta|}{2} \leq |\eta - \lambda\xi|$ or $\frac{|\eta|}{2} \leq |\lambda\xi|$. So, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\eta|^{2|\alpha|}}{\langle \lambda\xi - \eta \rangle^{4N}} d\eta \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \lambda\xi \rangle^{2m} \frac{|\eta|^{2m}}{\langle \lambda\xi \rangle^{2m} \langle \lambda\xi - \eta \rangle^{4N}} d\eta \leq C_1 \lambda^{2m} \langle \xi \rangle^{2m}. \quad (2.7)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(1 - \Delta_y)^N g(x, y)| dy \\ & \leq \sum_{|\beta_1| + |\beta_2| + |\beta_3| \leq 2N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_y^{\beta_1} \phi_\lambda(y - x) \cdot \partial_y^{\beta_2} \{1 - \chi(y)\} \cdot \partial_y^{\beta_3} \langle y \rangle^l| dy \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

holds. If $x \in K$ and $y \in \text{supp}\{\partial_y^{\beta_2}(1 - \chi(y))\}$ then there exist $C_2, C'_2 > 0$ such that $|y - x| \geq C_2$ and thus $|y - x| \geq C'_2 \langle y - x \rangle$, which shows that

$$|\partial_y^{\beta_3} \langle y \rangle^l| \leq C_3 \langle y \rangle^l \leq C'_3 \langle y - x \rangle^M \langle x \rangle^l = C_M |y - x|^M \langle x \rangle^l$$

for $M \geq l$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1}_K(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_y^{\beta_1} \phi_\lambda(y - x) \cdot \partial_y^{\beta_2} \{1 - \chi(y)\} \cdot \partial_y^{\beta_3} \langle y \rangle^l| dy \\ & \leq C'_M \mathbf{1}_K(x) \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}(n + |\beta_1| - M)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\partial_y^{\beta_1} \phi)(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(y - x))| (\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}|y - x|)^M \langle x \rangle^l dy \\ & \leq C''_M \lambda^{N - \frac{M}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.5), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) we obtain

$$\mathbf{1}_K(x) |W_{\phi_\lambda}[(1 - \chi)u](x, \lambda\xi)| \leq C'''_M \lambda^{m + N - \frac{M}{2}} \langle \xi \rangle^m. \quad (2.10)$$

Thus, if we take M sufficiently large, we obtain (2.4). $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\delta, k > 0$. Set $A = \{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n; |\eta| \geq \delta\lambda^{3/4}\}$. Then, for all $q > 0$ there exists a $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_A \left| \langle \lambda\eta \rangle^k \mathcal{F}[\phi](\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta) \right|^2 d\eta \leq C \lambda^{-q} \quad (2.11)$$

for all $\lambda \geq 1$.

Proof. If $\eta \in A$, then $|\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta| \geq \delta\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and thus

$$|\mathcal{F}[\phi](\lambda^{-1/2}\eta)| \leq \frac{|\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta|^p |\mathcal{F}[\phi](\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta)|}{\delta^p \lambda^{\frac{p}{4}}}$$

for all $p > 0$. Since $\lambda \geq 1$, simple calculation yields that $\langle \lambda\eta \rangle^2 \leq \lambda^3 \langle \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta \rangle^2$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A \left| \langle \lambda\eta \rangle^k \mathcal{F}[\phi](\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta) \right|^2 d\eta & \leq \int_A \lambda^{3k} \langle \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta \rangle^{2k} \frac{|\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta|^{2p} |\mathcal{F}[\phi](\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta)|^2}{\delta^{2p} \lambda^{\frac{p}{2}}} d\eta \\ & = \lambda^{3k - \frac{p}{2} + \frac{n}{2}} \delta^{-2p} \|\langle \cdot \rangle^{2k} \cdot\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2p} \|\mathcal{F}[\phi]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2p} \end{aligned}$$

by change of variables. Therefore, if we take p sufficiently large then we obtain the desired result. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$ and $f \in B^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Put

$$F_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta, \xi) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \chi(y) \partial_x^\alpha f(x, y) \partial_x^\beta (\psi_\lambda(y - x)) e^{-ix \cdot \eta - iy \cdot \xi} dx dy \quad (2.12)$$

for multi-indices α, β , where $\psi_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{n/4} \psi(\lambda^{1/2} x)$. Then,

$$\|\langle \xi' \rangle^k F_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta, \lambda\xi - \xi')\|_{L_{\xi'}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq C \lambda^{\frac{5n}{2} + 6k + |\beta| + 3} \langle \xi \rangle^{2k+n+1}. \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. Let $N = 2k + n + 1$. Since $(1 - \Delta_y)^N e^{-iy \cdot (\lambda\xi - \xi')} = \langle \lambda\xi - \xi' \rangle^{2N} e^{-iy \cdot (\lambda\xi - \xi')}$, we have, by integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} & |F_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta, \lambda\xi - \xi')| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\langle \lambda\xi - \xi' \rangle^{2N}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \left| (1 - \Delta_y)^N \{ \chi(y) \partial_x^\alpha f(x, y) \partial_x^\beta (\psi_\lambda(y - x)) \} \right| dx dy \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\langle \lambda\xi - \xi' \rangle^{2N}} \sum_{|\gamma_1| + |\gamma_2| + |\gamma_3| \leq 2N} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \left| \partial_y^{\gamma_1} \chi(y) \cdot \partial_y^{\gamma_2} \partial_x^\alpha f(x, y) \cdot \partial_y^{\gamma_3} \partial_x^\beta (\psi_\lambda(y - x)) \right| dx dy \\ & \leq \frac{C'}{\langle \lambda\xi - \xi' \rangle^{2N}} \sum_{|\gamma_1| + |\gamma_3| \leq 2N} \lambda^{\frac{n}{4} + \frac{|\gamma_3|}{2} + \frac{|\beta|}{2}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |\partial_y^{\gamma_1} \chi(y) \cdot (\partial^{\gamma_3 + \beta} \psi)(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(y - x))| dx dy \\ & = \frac{C'}{\langle \lambda\xi - \xi' \rangle^{2N}} \sum_{|\gamma_1| + |\gamma_3| \leq 2N} \lambda^{-\frac{n}{4} + \frac{|\gamma_3|}{2} + \frac{|\beta|}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_y^{\gamma_1} \chi(y)| dy \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial^{\gamma_3 + \beta} \psi(x)| dx \\ & \leq \frac{C''}{\langle \lambda\xi - \xi' \rangle^{2N}} \lambda^{-\frac{n}{4} + N + \frac{|\beta|}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle \xi' \rangle^k F_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta, \lambda\xi - \xi')\|_{L_{\xi'}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi' \rangle^{2k} |F_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta, \lambda\xi - \xi')|^2 d\xi' \\ &\leq C \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2} + 2N + |\beta|} \langle \lambda\xi \rangle^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle \xi' \rangle^{2k}}{\langle \lambda\xi - \xi' \rangle^{4N} \langle \lambda\xi \rangle^N} d\xi'. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{\langle \xi' \rangle^{2k}}{\langle \lambda\xi - \xi' \rangle^{4N} \langle \lambda\xi \rangle^N} \leq \frac{C}{\langle \xi' \rangle^{n+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \lambda\xi \rangle^N \leq \lambda^N \langle \xi \rangle^N \quad \text{for } \lambda \geq 1$$

we obtain desired result. $\square$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Trivially, (iii) yields (ii). So, in order to prove Theorem 1.2, we show that (i) implies (iii) and (ii) implies (i).

Proposition 3.1. Under the same assumption as in Theorem 1.2, (i) implies (iii).

Proof. By (i) and Proposition 2.2, there exist a neighborhood V_1 of ξ_0 and $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\chi \equiv 1$ near x_0 such that

$$\int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda\xi)\|_{L^2(V_1)}^2 d\lambda < \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.3, there exists $d > 0$ such that $B(\xi_0, 2d) \subset V_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \|\mathcal{F}[\zeta \chi u](\lambda \xi)\|_{L^2(B(\xi_0, 2d))}^2 d\lambda \\ \leq C \int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda \xi)\|_{L^2(V_1)}^2 d\lambda + C' \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $V = B(\xi_0, d)$ and K be a neighborhood of x_0 satisfying $\overline{K} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \chi(x) = 1\}^\circ$. It is enough to show that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N > 0$ such that

$$\int_V \int_K |W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)|^2 dx d\xi \leq C_N \left(\|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda \xi)\|_{L^2(V_1)}^2 + \lambda^{-N} \right). \quad (3.2)$$

In fact, if we take $N = n + [2s] + 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \int_V \int_K |W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)|^2 dx d\xi d\lambda \\ \leq C \int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \left(\|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda \xi)\|_{L^2(V_1)}^2 + \lambda^{-n-[2s]-1} \right) d\lambda < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove (3.2), we divide $W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)$ into two parts:

$$W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi) = W_{\phi_\lambda} [\chi^2 u](x, \lambda \xi) + W_{\phi_\lambda} [(1 - \chi^2)u](x, \lambda \xi).$$

Since $\overline{K} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \chi(x) = 1\}^\circ$, it follows that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N > 0$ such that

$$\int_V \int_K |W_{\phi_\lambda} [(1 - \chi^2)u](x, \lambda \xi)|^2 dx d\xi \leq C_N \lambda^{-N} \quad (3.3)$$

by Lemma 2.4.

Let $\tilde{\chi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $\tilde{\chi} \equiv 1$ on K and $\overline{\text{supp } \tilde{\chi}} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \chi(x) = 1\}^\circ$. Applying Taylor's theorem to $\tilde{\chi}(x)$, we have

$$\tilde{\chi}(x) = \tilde{\chi}(y) + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq L} \frac{\partial_x^\alpha \tilde{\chi}(y)}{\alpha!} (x - y)^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha|=L+1} (x - y)^\alpha R_\alpha(x, y), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$R_\alpha(x, y) = \frac{L+1}{\alpha!} \int_0^1 \partial_x^\alpha \tilde{\chi}(y + \theta(x - y)) (1 - \theta)^L d\theta.$$

By Plancherel's theorem and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V \int_K |W_{\phi_\lambda} [\chi^2 u](x, \lambda \xi)|^2 dx d\xi &\leq \int_V \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tilde{\chi}(x) W_{\phi_\lambda} [\chi^2 u](x, \lambda \xi)|^2 dx d\xi \\ &= \int_V \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow \eta} \left[\tilde{\chi}(x) W_{\phi_\lambda} [\chi^2 u](x, \lambda \xi) \right] (\eta) \right|^2 d\eta d\xi \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_V \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |I_j|^2 d\eta d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_1 = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \tilde{\chi}(y) \overline{\phi_\lambda(y-x)} \{\chi(y)\}^2 u(y) e^{-i\lambda y \cdot \xi - ix \cdot \eta} dy dx, \quad (3.5)$$

$$I_2 = \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq L} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{\partial_x^\alpha \tilde{\chi}(y)}{\alpha!} (x-y)^\alpha \overline{\phi_\lambda(y-x)} \{\chi(y)\}^2 u(y) e^{-i\lambda y \cdot \xi - ix \cdot \eta} dy dx \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$I_3 = \sum_{|\alpha|=L+1} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} R_\alpha(x, y) (x-y)^\alpha \overline{\phi_\lambda(y-x)} \{\chi(y)\}^2 u(y) e^{-i\lambda y \cdot \xi - ix \cdot \eta} dy dx. \quad (3.7)$$

First, we consider I_1 . By Fubini's theorem and change of variables, we have

$$I_1 = \lambda^{-\frac{n}{4}} \overline{\mathcal{F}[\phi](\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta)} \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\chi}\chi^2 u](\eta + \lambda\xi).$$

Let $B = B(0, d\lambda^{3/4})$. If $\eta \in B$, $\xi \in V$ and $\eta + \lambda\xi = \lambda\xi'$ then $\xi' \in B(\xi_0, 2d)$. So, we have, by (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V \int_B |I_1|^2 d\eta d\xi &= \int_B \int_V |\lambda^{-\frac{n}{4}} \mathcal{F}[\phi](\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta)|^2 |\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\chi}\chi^2 u](\eta + \lambda\xi)|^2 d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq \int_B |\lambda^{-\frac{n}{4}} \mathcal{F}[\phi](\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta)|^2 d\eta \int_{B(\xi_0, 2d)} |\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\chi}\chi^2 u](\lambda\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda\xi)\|_{L^2(V_1)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

If $\eta \in B^c$ and $\xi \in V$ then $\langle \eta + \lambda\xi \rangle \leq C\langle \lambda\eta \rangle$ holds. Since $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\langle \xi \rangle^{-k} \mathcal{F}[\psi u](\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, change of variables and Lemma 2.5 yield that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V \int_{B^c} |I_1|^2 d\eta d\xi &\leq C \int_{B^c} \int_V \frac{\langle \lambda\eta \rangle^{2k}}{\langle \eta + \lambda\xi \rangle^{2k}} \left| \lambda^{-\frac{n}{4}} \mathcal{F}[\phi](\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta) \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\chi}\chi^2 u](\eta + \lambda\xi) \right|^2 d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq C \lambda^{-\frac{3n}{2}} \int_{B^c} |\langle \lambda\eta \rangle^{2k} \mathcal{F}[\phi](\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta)|^2 d\eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\chi}\chi^2 u](\xi)}{\langle \xi \rangle^k} \right|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C_N \lambda^{-N}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Next, we consider I_2 . By Fubini's theorem and change of variables, we have

$$I_2 = \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq L} \frac{\lambda^{-\frac{|\alpha|}{2} - \frac{n}{4}}}{\alpha!} \mathcal{F}[(-y)^\alpha \phi(y)](\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta) \mathcal{F}[(\partial^\alpha \tilde{\chi})\chi^2 u](\eta + \lambda\xi).$$

Thus, in the similar calculation as above, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N, C'_N > 0$ such that

$$\int_V \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |I_2|^2 d\eta d\xi \leq C_N \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq L} \frac{\lambda^{-\frac{|\alpha|}{2}}}{\alpha!} \left\{ \|\mathcal{F}[(\partial^\alpha \tilde{\chi})\chi^2 u](\lambda\xi)\|_{L^2(B(\xi_0, 2d))}^2 + \lambda^{-N} \right\} \quad (3.10)$$

$$\leq C'_N (\|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda\xi)\|_{L^2(V_1)}^2 + \lambda^{-N}). \quad (3.11)$$

Finally, we consider I_3 . Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $4M > n + 1$. Since $(1 - \Delta_x)^M e^{-ix \cdot \eta} = \langle \eta \rangle^{2M} e^{-ix \cdot \eta}$, we have, by integration by parts and Schwarz's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_3| &\leq \sum_{|\alpha|=L+1} \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{(1 - \Delta_x)^M \{R_\alpha(x, y)(x - y)^\alpha \overline{\phi_\lambda(y - x)}\}}{\langle \eta \rangle^{2M}} \{\chi(y)\}^2 u(y) e^{-ix \cdot \eta - i\lambda y \cdot \xi} dy dx \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\langle \eta \rangle^{2M}} \sum_{|\alpha|=L+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |G_\alpha(\eta, \lambda\xi - \xi') \mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi')| d\xi' \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\langle \eta \rangle^{2M}} \left\| \frac{\mathcal{F}[\chi u]}{\langle \cdot \rangle^k} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sum_{|\alpha|=L+1} \|\langle \xi' \rangle^k G_\alpha(\eta, \lambda\xi - \xi')\|_{L^2_{\xi'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{3.12}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_\alpha(\eta, \xi) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \chi(y) (1 - \Delta_x)^M \{R_\alpha(x, y)(x - y)^\alpha \overline{\phi_\lambda(y - x)}\} e^{-ix \cdot \eta - iy \cdot \xi} dx dy.$$

Put $g(x) = (-x)^\alpha \overline{\phi(x)}$ and $g_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{\frac{n}{4}} g(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} x)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
|G_\alpha(\eta, \xi)| &= \lambda^{-\frac{|\alpha|}{2}} \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \chi(y) (1 - \Delta_x)^M \{R_\alpha(x, y) g_\lambda(y - x)\} e^{-ix \cdot \eta - iy \cdot \xi} dx dy \right| \\
&\leq \lambda^{-\frac{L+1}{2}} \sum_{|\beta|+|\gamma| \leq 2M} \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \chi(y) \partial_x^\beta R_\alpha(x, y) \partial_x^\gamma (g_\lambda(y - x)) e^{-ix \cdot \eta - iy \cdot \xi} dx dy \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

we have, by Lemma 2.6,

$$\|\langle \xi' \rangle^k G_\alpha(\eta, \lambda\xi - \xi')\|_{L^2_{\xi'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{2k+n+1} \lambda^{-(L+1)+\frac{5n}{2}+6k+2M+3}. \tag{3.13}$$

Since we can take L arbitrarily, (3.12) and (3.13) yield that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N > 0$ such that

$$\int_V \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |I_3|^2 d\eta d\xi \leq C_N \lambda^{-N} \tag{3.14}$$

Combining (3.3), (3.8), (3.9), (3.11) and (3.14), we obtain (3.2). $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 1.2, (ii) implies (i).*

Proof. From the assumption (ii), there exist $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$, a neighborhood K of x_0 and a neighborhood V_1 of ξ_0 such that

$$\int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \int_{V_1} \int_K |W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda\xi)|^2 dx d\xi d\lambda < \infty. \tag{3.15}$$

Since $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|\langle \xi \rangle^{-k} \mathcal{F}[\psi u](\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$ for all $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So, Proposition 2.2 yields that there exists a neighborhood V_2 of ξ_0 such that

$$\int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1-2k} \|\mathcal{F}[\psi u](\lambda\xi)\|_{L^2(V_2)}^2 d\lambda < \infty. \tag{3.16}$$

Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\chi \equiv 1$ on K and let $\tilde{\chi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\tilde{\chi} \equiv 1$ near x_0 , $\text{supp } \tilde{\chi} \subset K^\circ$ and $0 \leq \tilde{\chi} \leq 1$. Take $d > 0$ satisfying $B(\xi_0, d) \subset V_1 \cap V_2$. From Proposition 2.2 and (3.15), it is enough to show that there exist $\lambda_0 \geq 1$ and a neighborhood V of ξ_0 such that

$$\int_V |\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\chi}\chi^2 u](\lambda\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq C \int_{B(\xi_0, d)} \int_K |W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda\xi)|^2 dx d\xi + C' \lambda^{-M} \quad (3.17)$$

for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and $M > n + 2s$. Put $V' = B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2})$. Since $(A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4)^2 \leq 4(A_1^2 + A_2^2 + A_3^2 + A_4^2)$ for $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have, by (3.4) and Plancherel's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{V'} \int_K |W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda\xi)|^2 dx d\xi \\ & \geq \int_{V'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tilde{\chi}(x) W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda\xi)|^2 dx d\xi \\ & = \int_{V'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow \eta} [\tilde{\chi}(x) W_{\phi_\lambda} [\chi^2 u](x, \lambda\xi) + \tilde{\chi}(x) W_{\phi_\lambda} [(1 - \chi^2)u](x, \lambda\xi)](\eta) \right|^2 d\eta d\xi \\ & = \int_{V'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4|^2 d\eta d\xi \\ & \geq \int_{V'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{4} |I_1|^2 - |I_2|^2 - |I_3|^2 - |I_4|^2 \right) d\eta d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where I_1, I_2 and I_3 are defined by (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) respectively, and

$$I_4 = \mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow \eta} [\tilde{\chi}(x) W_{\phi_\lambda} [(1 - \chi^2)u](x, \lambda\xi)](\eta).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \int_{V'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |I_1|^2 d\eta d\xi & \leq \int_{V'} \int_K |W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda\xi)|^2 dx d\xi + \int_{V'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |I_2|^2 d\eta d\xi \\ & \quad + \int_{V'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |I_3|^2 d\eta d\xi + \int_{V'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |I_4|^2 d\eta d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N > 4s + 4k$, $\delta = \frac{d}{2(2N-1)}$. Since $I_1 = \mathcal{F}[\phi_\lambda](\eta) \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\chi}\chi^2 u](\eta + \lambda\xi)$, we have, by change of variables and Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{V'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |I_1|^2 d\eta d\xi & \geq \int_{V'} \int_{B(0, \delta\lambda^{3/4})} |I_1|^2 d\eta d\xi \\ & = \int_{B(0, \delta\lambda^{3/4})} |\mathcal{F}[\phi_\lambda](\eta)|^2 \int_{V'} |\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\chi}\chi^2 u](\eta + \lambda\xi)|^2 d\xi d\eta \\ & = \int_{B(0, \delta\lambda^{3/4})} |\mathcal{F}[\phi_\lambda](\eta)|^2 \int_{\Omega_{\lambda, \eta}} |\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\chi}\chi^2 u](\lambda\xi)|^2 d\xi d\eta \\ & \geq \int_{B(0, \delta\lambda^{3/4})} |\mathcal{F}[\phi_\lambda](\eta)|^2 \int_{B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} - \delta)} |\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\chi}\chi^2 u](\lambda\xi)|^2 d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega_{\lambda, \eta} = \{\xi + \frac{\eta}{\lambda}; \xi \in V'\}$. We note that if $\xi \in V'$, $\eta \in B(0, \delta\lambda^{3/4})$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ then $B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} - \delta) \subset \Omega_{\lambda, \eta}$. Since

$$\int_{B(0, \delta\lambda^{3/4})} |\mathcal{F}[\phi_\lambda](\eta)|^2 d\eta \longrightarrow \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \quad (\lambda \rightarrow \infty),$$

there exists $\lambda_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$\int_{B(0, \delta \lambda^{3/4})} |\mathcal{F}[\phi_\lambda](\eta)|^2 d\eta \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 > 0$$

for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$. Therefore we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \int_{B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} - \delta)} |\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\chi} \chi^2 u](\lambda \xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \int_{V'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |I_1|^2 d\eta d\xi \quad (3.19)$$

for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$. Let $M > n + 2s$. In the similar calculation as (3.10) and (3.3), we have

$$\int_{V'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |I_2|^2 d\eta d\xi \leq C \left(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq L} \|\mathcal{F}[(\partial^\alpha \tilde{\chi}) \chi u](\lambda \xi)\|_{L^2(B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} + \delta))}^2 + \lambda^{-M} \right) \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$\int_{V'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |I_4|^2 d\eta d\xi \leq C \lambda^{-M}, \quad (3.21)$$

respectively. If we take L sufficiently large, then in the same way as (3.14) we have

$$\int_{V'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |I_3|^2 d\eta d\xi \leq C \lambda^{-M}. \quad (3.22)$$

By (3.18), (3.19), (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} - \delta)} |\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\chi} \chi^2 u](\lambda \xi)|^2 d\xi &\leq C \left(\int_{B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2})} \int_K |W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)|^2 dx d\xi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq L} \int_{B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} + \delta)} |\mathcal{F}[(\partial^\alpha \tilde{\chi}) \chi u](\lambda \xi)|^2 d\xi + \lambda^{-M} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

In the left hand side of above inequality, substituting $|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\chi} \chi^2 u](\lambda \xi)|$ and $B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} - \delta)$ for $|\mathcal{F}[(\partial^\alpha \tilde{\chi}) \chi^2 u](\lambda \xi)|$ and $B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} + \delta)} |\mathcal{F}[(\partial^\alpha \tilde{\chi}) \chi^2 u](\lambda \xi)|^2 d\xi &\leq C \left(\int_{B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} + 2\delta)} \int_K |W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)|^2 dx d\xi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha_2| \leq L} \int_{B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} + 3\delta)} |\mathcal{F}[(\partial^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \tilde{\chi}) \chi^2 u](\lambda \xi)|^2 d\xi + \lambda^{-M} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Combining (3.23) and (3.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} - \delta)} |\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\chi} \chi^2 u](\lambda \xi)|^2 d\xi &\leq C \left(\int_{B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} + 2\delta)} \int_K |W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)|^2 dx d\xi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda^{-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq |\alpha_1| \leq L \\ 1 \leq |\alpha_2| \leq L}} \int_{B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} + 3\delta)} |\mathcal{F}[(\partial^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \tilde{\chi}) \chi^2 u](\lambda \xi)|^2 d\xi + \lambda^{-M} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Continuing in this fashion, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} - \delta)} |\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\chi} \chi^2 u](\lambda \xi)|^2 d\xi \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} + N\delta)} \int_K |W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)|^2 dx d\xi \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \lambda^{-\frac{N}{2}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq |\alpha_j| \leq L \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}} \int_{B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} + (2N-1)\delta)} |\mathcal{F}[(\partial_x^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N} \chi) \chi^2 u](\lambda \xi)|^2 d\xi + \lambda^{-M} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} + N\delta) \subset B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} + (2N-1)\delta) \subset B(\xi_0, d)$, $M > 2n + 2s - 1$ and $N > 4s + 4k$, we obtain (3.17) by (3.16) as $V = B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2} - \delta)$. $\square$

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Trivially, (iii) yields (ii). So, in order to prove Theorem 1.1, we show that (i) implies (iii) and (ii) implies (i).

Proposition 4.1. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 1.1, (i) implies (iii).*

Proof. By (i), there exists $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\chi \equiv 1$ near x_0 and a conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N > 0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)| \leq C_N (1 + |\xi|)^{-N} \quad (4.1)$$

for $\xi \in \Gamma$. Thus, it follows that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \geq 1$ there exists $C_{N,a} > 0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda \xi)| \leq C_N (1 + \lambda |\xi|)^{-N} \leq C_{N,a} \lambda^{-N} \quad (4.2)$$

for $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\xi \in \Gamma$ with $a^{-1} \leq |\xi| \leq a$.

Let K and K' be a neighborhood of x_0 satisfying $\overline{K} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \chi(x) = 1\}^\circ$ and $\overline{K'} \subset K^\circ$. Put $V_a = \{\xi \in \Gamma | a^{-1} \leq |\xi| \leq a\}$. Take $d > 0$ satisfying $B(\xi_0, 2d) \subset V_a$ and put $V = B(\xi_0, d)$ and $V' = B(\xi_0, \frac{d}{2})$. Let $\chi_1 \in C_0^\infty(K)$ satisfy $\chi_1 \equiv 1$ on K' and let $\chi_2 \in C_0^\infty(V)$ satisfy $\chi_2 \equiv 1$ on V' . Then, by the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\mathbf{1}_{K'}(x) \mathbf{1}_{V'}(\xi) W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)| \\
& \leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\xi_n} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\xi_1} \int_{-\infty}^{x_n} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \partial_{\xi_1 \dots \xi_n} \partial_{x_1 \dots x_n} \left\{ \chi_1(x) \chi_2(\xi) W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi) \right\} dx_1 \cdots dx_n d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_n \right| \\
& \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \left| \partial_{\xi_1 \dots \xi_n} \partial_{x_1 \dots x_n} \left\{ \chi_1(x) \chi_2(\xi) W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi) \right\} \right| dx d\xi \\
& \leq \sum_{0 \leq \alpha \leq \tau} \sum_{0 \leq \beta \leq \tau} C_{\alpha, \beta} \int_V \int_K |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta [W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)]| dx d\xi,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tau = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x_j} (W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)) &= \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} W_{(\partial_{x_j} \phi)_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi), \\
\partial_{\xi_j} (W_{\phi_\lambda} u(x, \lambda \xi)) &= -i \lambda W_{\phi_\lambda} [y u](x, \lambda \xi)
\end{aligned}$$

and Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$|\mathbf{1}_{K'}(x)\mathbf{1}_{V'}(\xi)W_{\phi_\lambda}u(x, \lambda\xi)| \leq \sum_{0 \leq \alpha \leq \tau} \sum_{0 \leq \beta \leq \tau} C_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2} + |\beta|} \left(\int_V \int_K |W_{(\partial_x^\alpha \phi)_\lambda}[y^\beta u](x, \lambda\xi)|^2 dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.3)$$

By the similar way that we got (3.2), for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_N > 0$ such that

$$\int_V \int_K |W_{(\partial_x^\alpha \phi)_\lambda}[y^\beta u](x, \lambda\xi)|^2 dx d\xi \leq C_N \left(\int_{V_a} |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda\xi)|^2 d\xi + \lambda^{-N} \right). \quad (4.4)$$

From (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4), we obtain the desired result. $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 1.1, (ii) implies (i).*

Proof. From the assumption (ii), there exist $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$, a neighborhood K of x_0 and a conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \geq 1$ there exists a constant $C_{N,a}$ satisfying

$$|W_{\phi_\lambda}u(x, \lambda\xi)| \leq C_{N,a} \lambda^{-N} \quad (4.5)$$

for all $\lambda \geq 1$, $x \in K$ and $\xi \in \Gamma$ with $a^{-1} \leq |\xi| \leq a$. Take $b > 1$ satisfying that $\xi_0 \in \{\xi \in \Gamma \mid b^{-1} \leq |\xi| \leq b\}$. Put $V_1 = \{\xi \in \Gamma \mid b^{-1} \leq |\xi| \leq b\}$. By the similar way that we got (3.17), there exist $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\chi \equiv 1$ near x_0 and a neighborhood V_2 of ξ_0

$$\int_{V_2} |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq C_N \left(\int_{V_1} \int_K |W_{\phi_\lambda}u(x, \lambda\xi)|^2 dx d\xi + \lambda^{-N} \right) \quad (4.6)$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\xi \in V_2$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ then simple calculation yields that $\lambda^{-N} \leq C_N(1 + \lambda|\xi|)^{-N}$. Thus, from (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$\int_{V_2} |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq C_N(1 + \lambda|\xi|)^{-N} \quad (4.7)$$

for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let V_3 be a neighborhood of ξ_0 satisfying $\overline{V_3} \subset V_2^\circ$. Using Proposition 2.1, it is enough to show that there exists a neighborhood V_3 of ξ_0 such that

$$\mathbf{1}_{V_3}(\xi)|\widehat{\chi u}(\lambda\xi)| \leq C \int_{V_2} |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda\xi)|^2 d\xi. \quad (4.8)$$

Let $\chi_1 \in C_0^\infty(V_2)$ satisfy $\chi_1 \equiv 1$ on V_3 . Then, by the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{1}_{V_3}(\xi)\widehat{\chi u}(\lambda\xi)| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\xi_n} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\xi_1} \partial_{\xi_1 \cdots \xi_n} \left\{ \chi_1(\xi) \widehat{\chi u}(\lambda\xi) \right\} d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_n \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \partial_{\xi_1 \cdots \xi_n} \left\{ \chi_1(\xi) \widehat{\chi u}(\lambda\xi) \right\} \right| d\xi \\ & \leq \sum_{0 \leq \alpha \leq \tau} C_\alpha \int_{V_2} |\partial_\xi^\alpha \{\widehat{\chi u}(\lambda\xi)\}| d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since

$$\partial_{\xi_j} \{\widehat{\chi u}(\lambda \xi)\} = -i\lambda \mathcal{F}[y\chi u](\lambda \xi)$$

and Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$|\mathbf{1}_{V_3}(\xi) \widehat{\chi u}(\lambda \xi)| \leq \sum_{0 \leq \alpha \leq \tau} C_\alpha \lambda^{2|\alpha|} \left(\int_{V_2} |\mathcal{F}[y\chi u](\lambda \xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (4.9)$$

Thus, we obtain the desired result. $\square$

A appendix

Proof of Proposition 2.1. First, under the assumption (i), we show (ii). From the assumption (i), we have

$$|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda \xi)| \leq C_N (1 + \lambda |\xi|)^{-N}$$

for $\xi \in \Gamma$. Let V be a neighborhood of ξ_0 satisfying $V \subset \Gamma$. If $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\xi \in V$ then $\lambda \xi \in \Gamma$. Thus, we have (ii).

Conversely, we assume (ii). Put $\Gamma_1 = \{\lambda \xi \mid \xi \in V, \lambda > 0\}$ and $\Gamma_2 = \{\lambda \xi \mid \xi \in V, \lambda \geq 1\}$. By the assumption, we have

$$\mathbf{1}_{\Gamma_2}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)| \leq C_N (1 + |\xi|)^{-N}.$$

Let $\chi_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $\chi \equiv 1$ on $\Gamma_1 \setminus \Gamma_2$. Then, we have

$$\mathbf{1}_{\Gamma_1 \setminus \Gamma_2}(\xi) |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)| \leq |\chi_1(\xi) \mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)| \leq C_N (1 + |\xi|)^{-N},$$

where $C_N = \sup_{\xi \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \Gamma_2} |(1 + |\xi|)^N \chi_1(\xi) \mathcal{F}[\chi u]|$. Thus, we obtain the desired result. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2.2. First, we show (i) implies (ii). Let Γ be a conic neighborhood of ξ_0 satisfying (2.1). Take $\delta > 0$ with $|\xi_0| - \delta > 0$. Let $V = \{\xi \in \Gamma; |\xi_0| - \delta \leq |\xi| \leq |\xi_0| + \delta\}$, $S^{n-1} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n; |\xi| = 1\}$ and $A = S^{n-1} \cap \Gamma$. By change of variables $\xi = r\sigma$, where $r > 0$ and $\sigma \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\equiv \int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda \xi)\|_{L^2(V)}^2 d\lambda \\ &= \int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \int_{|\xi_0|-\delta}^{|\xi_0|+\delta} \int_A r^{n-1} |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda r \sigma)|^2 d\sigma dr d\lambda \\ &\leq C \int_{|\xi_0|-\delta}^{|\xi_0|+\delta} \int_1^\infty \int_A r(r\lambda)^{n-1+2s} |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda r \sigma)|^2 d\sigma d\lambda dr. \end{aligned}$$

Again by change of variables $\lambda r = \lambda'$ and $r = r'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq C \int_{|\xi_0|-\delta}^{|\xi_0|+\delta} \int_r^\infty \int_A \lambda^{n-1+2s} |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda \sigma)|^2 d\sigma d\lambda dr \\ &\leq 2\delta C \int_{|\xi_0|-\delta}^\infty \int_A \lambda^{n-1+2s} |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda \sigma)|^2 d\sigma d\lambda \\ &\leq 2\delta C \int_0^\infty \int_A \lambda^{n-1} (1 + \lambda^2 |\sigma|^2)^s |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda \sigma)|^2 d\sigma d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By change of variables $\xi = \lambda\sigma$, we obtain

$$I \leq C \int_{\Gamma} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty.$$

Therefore we obtain (2.2).

Next, we show (ii) implies (i). Let V be a neighborhood of x_0 satisfying (2.2). Take $d > 0$ such that $|\xi_0| - d > 0$ and $B(\xi_0, d) \subset V$ and put $\Gamma_d = \{\lambda\xi \mid \xi \in B(\xi_0, d), \lambda > 0\}$. Let Γ be a conic neighborhood of ξ_0 satisfying $\bar{\Gamma} \subset \Gamma_d$. We divide $\|\langle \xi \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}$ into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 &= \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)\|_{L^2(\Gamma \cap \{|\xi| \leq 1\})}^2 + \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)\|_{L^2(\Gamma \cap \{|\xi| \geq 1\})}^2 \\ &\equiv I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Since $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\langle \xi \rangle^{-k} \mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{\Gamma \cap \{|\xi| \leq 1\}} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s+2k} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2k} |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq 2^{|s|+k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2k} |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Let $A' = \{\xi \in \Gamma; |\xi| = 1\}$ and take $d' > 0$ satisfying

$$V' = \{\xi \in \Gamma; |\xi_0| - d' \leq |\xi| \leq |\xi_0| + d'\} \subset B(\xi_0, d).$$

By change of variables $\xi = \lambda\sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_1^\infty \int_{A'} \lambda^{n-1} (1 + \lambda^2)^s |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda\sigma)|^2 d\sigma d\lambda \\ &\leq C \int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \int_{A'} |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda\sigma)|^2 d\sigma d\lambda \\ &= \frac{C}{2\delta'} \int_{|\xi_0|-d'}^{|\xi_0|+d'} \int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \int_{A'} |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda\sigma)|^2 d\sigma d\lambda d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Again by change of variables $\lambda = \lambda'\theta'$ and $\theta = \theta'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C \int_{|\xi_0|-d'}^{|\xi_0|+d'} \int_{\frac{1}{\theta}}^\infty \int_{A'} \lambda^{n-1+2s} \theta^{n+2s} |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda\theta\sigma)|^2 d\sigma d\lambda d\theta \\ &\leq C' \int_{\frac{1}{|\xi_0|+d'}}^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \int_{|\xi_0|-d'}^{|\xi_0|+d'} \int_{A'} \theta^{n-1} |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda\theta\sigma)|^2 d\sigma d\theta d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By change of variables $\xi = \theta\sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C \int_{\frac{1}{|\xi_0|+d'}}^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda\xi)\|_{L^2(V')}^2 d\lambda \\ &\leq C \left(\int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda\xi)\|_{L^2(V')}^2 d\lambda \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \int_{\frac{1}{|\xi_0|+d'}}^1 \lambda^{n-1+2s} \|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda\xi)\|_{L^2(V')}^2 d\lambda \right| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Since $\langle \xi \rangle^{-k} \mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\frac{1}{|\xi_0|+d'}}^1 \lambda^{n-1+2s} \|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda \xi)\|_{L^2(V')}^2 d\lambda \right| \\ & \leq C \left| \int_{\frac{1}{|\xi_0|+d'}}^1 \lambda^{-1+2s} (1+\lambda^2)^k \|\langle \xi \rangle^{-k} \mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 d\lambda \right| \leq C' \quad (\text{A.4}) \end{aligned}$$

From (A.1), (A.2), (A.3) and (A.4), we obtain (2.1). $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Let $\Gamma_d = \{\lambda \xi \mid \xi \in B(\xi_0, d), \lambda > 0\}$ and let Γ_1 be a conic neighborhood of ξ_0 such that $\bar{\Gamma}_1 \subset \Gamma_d$. As shown in the proof of Proposition 2.2, it follows that

$$\|\langle \xi \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}^2 \leq C \int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \|\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\lambda \xi)\|_{L^2(B(\xi_0, d))}^2 d\lambda + C'. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Let Γ_2 be a conic neighborhood of ξ_0 satisfying $\bar{\Gamma}_2 \subset \Gamma_1$. It is enough to show that

$$\|\langle \xi \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[\zeta \chi u](\xi)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_2)} \leq C \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} + C'. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

In fact, Proposition 2.2 yields that

$$\int_1^\infty \lambda^{n-1+2s} \|\mathcal{F}[\zeta \chi u](\lambda \xi)\|_{L^2(V)}^2 d\lambda \leq C_3 \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[\zeta \chi u](\xi)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_2)}^2, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where $V = \{\xi \in \Gamma_2 \mid |\xi_0| - \delta \leq |\xi| \leq |\xi_0| + \delta\}$ and δ is a sufficiently small constant. Thus, combining (A.5), (A.6) and (A.7), we obtain (2.3).

We divide $\langle \xi \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[\zeta \chi u](\xi)$ into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[\zeta \chi u](\xi) &= \langle \xi \rangle^s \int_{\Gamma_1} \mathcal{F}[\zeta](\xi - \eta) \mathcal{F}[\chi u](\eta) d\eta + \langle \xi \rangle^s \int_{\Gamma_1^c} \mathcal{F}[\zeta](\xi - \eta) \mathcal{F}[\chi u](\eta) d\eta \\ &\equiv I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\langle \xi \rangle^s \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-|s|} \langle \eta \rangle^{-s} \leq C$ holds. Thus, Young's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_1\|_{L^2(\Gamma_2)} &\leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle \xi \rangle^s}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{|s|} \langle \eta \rangle^s} \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{|s|} |\mathcal{F}[\zeta](\xi - \eta)| \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma_1}(\eta) \langle \eta \rangle^s |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\eta)| d\eta \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \|\langle \xi \rangle^{|s|} \mathcal{F}[\zeta](\xi)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \\ &\leq C' \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\langle \xi \rangle^{-k} \mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $\eta \notin \Gamma_1^c$ and $\xi \in \Gamma_2$, then $|\xi - \eta| \geq C|\xi|$ and $|\xi - \eta| \geq C|\eta|$. So we have, by Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_2\|_{L^2(\Gamma_2)} &\leq C \left\| \langle \xi \rangle^s \int_{\Gamma_1^c} |\mathcal{F}[\zeta](\xi - \eta)| \frac{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{|s|+k}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{|s|} \langle \eta \rangle^k} |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\eta)| d\eta \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C' \|\langle \xi \rangle^{|s|+k} \mathcal{F}[\zeta](\xi)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left\| \frac{\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)}{\langle \xi \rangle^k} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C''. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain (A.6). $\square$

References

- [1] A. Córdoba and C. Fefferman, *Wave packets and Fourier integral operators*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 3 (1978), 979–1005.
- [2] J. M. Delort, F.B.I. transformation. Second microlocalization and semilinear caustics. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1522. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1992).
- [3] G. B. Folland, Harmonic analysis in phase space, Ann. of Math. Studies No.122, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, (1989).
- [4] P. Gérard, *Moyennisation et régularité deux-microlocale*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 23 (1990), 89–121.
- [5] K. Gröchenig, Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis, Birkhäuser Boston (2001).
- [6] L. Hörmander, *Fourier integral operators, I*, Acta Math. 127 (1971), 79–183.
- [7] L. Hörmander, *Uniqueness theorems and wave front sets for solutions of linear differential equations with analytic coefficients*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 24 (1971), 671–704.
- [8] L. Hörmander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I, II, III, IV, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1983), (1985).
- [9] S. Mizohota, The theory of partial differential equations, Cambridge University Press, New York, (1973).
- [10] T. Ōkaji, *A note on the wave packet transforms*, Tsukuba J. Math. 25 (2001), 383–397.
- [11] S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov and J. Toft, *Wave-front sets in Fourier Lebesgue spaces*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino 66 (2008), 299–319.
- [12] S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov and J. Toft, *Micro-Local Analysis with Fourier Lebesgue Spaces. Part I*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 17 (2011), 374–407.
- [13] M. Sato, T. Kawai and M. Kashiwara, *Hyperfunctions and pseudodifferential equations*, In: Lecture Notes in Math. 287, Springer-Verlag, New York (1973), 265–529.
- [14] F. Trèves, Introduction to pseudodifferential and Fourier integral operators. Vol. 1 and Vol.2. The University Series in Mathematics. Plenum Press, New York-London, (1980).